

Adulteration of the table oil was alleged in the libel for the reason that cottonseed oil had been mixed and packed with and substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and for the further reason that it was mixed in a manner whereby its inferiority was concealed.

Misbranding of the so-called table oil was alleged in substance for the reason that the statements on the labels of the cans containing the said article, to wit, "Finest Quality Table Oil Tipo Termini Imerese * * * 1 Gallon Net," together with a design showing olive trees and olive pickers, were false and misleading, and deceived and misled the purchaser, for the further reason that it purported to be a foreign product when not so, and for the further reason that it was an imitation of and was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article, to wit, olive oil. Misbranding of the olive oil was alleged in substance for the reason that the statements on the labels of the respective sized cans containing the said article, to wit, "Net Contents One Full Gallon" and "Net Contents One Half Gallon," were false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, since the said cans did not contain 1 gallon or $\frac{1}{2}$ gallon, as the case might be, of the said article, but did contain less amounts. Misbranding was alleged with respect to both products for the reason that they were food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the packages.

On November 15, 1921, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the products be sold by the United States marshal, after the obliteration of the labels of the so-called table oil and the statements, respectively, "One Full Gallon" and "Net Contents One-half Gallon" from the labels of the olive oil.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10658. Misbranding of linseed cake and linseed meal. U. S. * * * v. Ankeney Linseed Mfg. Co., a corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$20 and costs. (F. & D. No. 15846. I. S. Nos. 13403-t, 13404-t.)

On February 28, 1922, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Iowa, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Ankeney Linseed Mfg. Co., a corporation, Des Moines, Iowa, alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about August 28, 1920, from the State of Iowa into the State of Kansas, of quantities of linseed meal and linseed cake, respectively, which were misbranded. The articles were labeled in part, (tags) "Guaranteed Analysis Crude Protein 34 Per cent * * * Ankeney Linseed Mfg. Co., Des Moines, Iowa * * *"

Analysis of a sample of the linseed cake by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it contained 30.90 per cent of crude protein. Analysis of a sample of the linseed meal by the same bureau showed that it contained 30 per cent of crude protein.

Misbranding of the articles was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that the statement, to wit, "Guaranteed Analysis: Crude Protein 34 per cent," borne and labeled on the tags attached to the bags containing the articles, concerning the articles and the substances and ingredients thereof, was false and misleading in that the said statement represented the articles to contain 34 per cent of crude protein, and for the further reason that they were labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that they contained 34 per cent of crude protein, whereas, in truth and in fact, they did not contain 34 per cent of crude protein but did contain a less amount.

On May 10, 1922, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$20 and costs.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10659. Misbranding of calf feed and adulteration and misbranding of pig meal. U. S. * * * v. Martin Calf Feed Co., a corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50 and costs. (F. & D. No. 15854. I. S. Nos. 11954-t, 11955-t.)

On April 3, 1922, the United States attorney for the Western District of Wisconsin, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Martin Calf Feed Co., a corporation, Mineral Point, Wis., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about May 1, 1920, from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Minnesota, of quantities